See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303904725

The common chameleon Chamaeleo chamaeleon in southern Italy: evidence for allochthony of populations in Apulia and Calabria (Reptilia: Squamata: Chamaeleonidae)



Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Is There a Future for the Amphibians of Ankaratra Massif Reserve? View project

Distribution, ecology and biogeography of Hystrix cristata in Italy, Sicily and Africa View project





Italian Journal of Zoology

ISSN: 1125-0003 (Print) 1748-5851 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tizo20

The common chameleon Chamaeleo chamaeleon in southern Italy: evidence for allochthony of populations in Apulia and Calabria (Reptilia: Squamata: Chamaeleonidae)

F. Andreone, F. M. Angelici, P. Carlino, S. Tripepi & A. Crottini

To cite this article: F. Andreone, F. M. Angelici, P. Carlino, S. Tripepi & A. Crottini (2016): The common chameleon Chamaeleo chamaeleon in southern Italy: evidence for allochthony of populations in Apulia and Calabria (Reptilia: Squamata: Chamaeleonidae), Italian Journal of Zoology, DOI: 10.1080/11250003.2016.1186236

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/11250003.2016.1186236</u>



Published online: 10 Jun 2016.

-	
L	
L	0

Submit your article to this journal 🗹



View related articles



View Crossmark data 🗹

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=tizo20



The common chameleon *Chamaeleo chamaeleon* in southern Italy: evidence for allochthony of populations in Apulia and Calabria (Reptilia: Squamata: Chamaeleonidae)

F. ANDREONE 1* , F. M. ANGELICI 2 , P. CARLINO 3 , S. TRIPEPI 4 , & A. CROTTINI 5

¹Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali, Sezione di Zoologia, Torino, Italy, ²FIZV, Roma, Italy, ³Museo di Storia naturale del Salento, Dipartimento di Erpetologia, Calimera, Italy, ⁴Dipartimento di Biologia, Ecologia e Scienze della Terra, Università della Calabria, Arcavacata di Rende, Italy, and ⁵CIBIO, Research Centre in Biodiversity and Genetic Resources, InBIO, Universidade do Porto, Vairão, Portugal

(Received 13 February 2016; accepted 18 April 2016)

Abstract

The common chameleon *Chamaeleo chamaeleon* is present in many Mediterranean countries. Its occurrence in Italy is historically reported for Sicily, where it appears to be no longer present. While many contemporary findings across the Italian Penisula refer to single observations, likely due to introduced or released animals, the species turned out to be established in two southern regions (Apulia and Calabria) with acclimatised populations. To investigate their provenance, we analysed tissue samples of individuals from these localities. A fragment of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene was amplified and compared with sequences available in Genbank. Our results showed that individuals from Calabria share haplotypes with those from Tunisia (N. Africa), while those from Apulia are coincident to samples from Israel. This suggests that individuals were most likely introduced in Italy.

Keywords: Allochthonous species, Chamaeleo chamaeleon, Chamaeleonidae, Italy

Introduction

The common chameleon Chamaeleo chamaeleon (Linnaeus, 1758) is a saurian widely distributed in the Mediterranean Basin. According to Necas (1999), Glaw (2015) and Uetz (2016) it is present in or has been reported for Algeria, Cyprus, Egypt, Greece, Iran, Iraq, Israel, Italy, Jordan, Lebanon, Libya, Malta, Morocco, Palestine, Saudi Arabia, South Portugal, Spain, Turkey, Syria, Tunisia and Yemen. It is noteworthy that some of these findings refer to escaped/ sporadic individuals and to acclimatised populations derived from the importation of individuals from other localities. This is due to the fact that chameleons are peculiar and attractive reptiles, which have been always the objects of particular attention by local people, who traded them as pets. As a consequence, C. chamaeleon has been repeatedly released in private gardens and other sites, and sometimes succeeded in establishing reproductive populations. A similar situation was also observed for another allochthonous species, *C. africanus*, which occurs in mainland Greece, but which was introduced in historical times (Böhme 1989; Kosuch et al. 1999; Dimaki et al. 2008).

The genetic variation of *C. chamaeleon* across its entire native distribution has not been adequately investigated, and because of this the origin of several European localities is still not clear. Many papers are available on the topic, and populations of *C. chamaeleon* from Spain, Portugal, Malta and Italy appear to be the result of repeated introductions and acclimatisations (Arnold & Ovenden 2002; Razzetti & Sindaco 2006; Sindaco & Jeremenko 2008; Tilbury & Tolley 2009; Tilbury 2010; Glaw 2015). The species can be locally abundant in some European localities, namely in Andalucia (Spain) and Algarve (Portugal), where populations turned out to be the result of at least two introductions, one of which

^{*}Correspondence: F. Andreone, Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali, Via G. Giolitti, 36, Torino I-10123, Italy. Tel: +39 0114326306. Fax: +39 0114324424. Email: franco.andreone@gregione.piemonte.it; franco.andreone@gmail.com

occurred before 1500 BC (Ruiz 1978; Mellado et al. 2001; Paulo et al. 2002; Vogrin et al. 2012). In the Maltese islands *C. chamaeleon* has also been introduced at least twice since 1850 (Sciberras 2007; Dimaki et al. 2008) and two different subspecies of *C. chamaeleon* now occur there, *C. c. chamaeleon* and *C. c. musae* (Sciberras 2007; Dimaki et al. 2008).

The presence of common chameleons was repeatedly reported for Italy (e.g. Razzetti & Sindaco 2006; Sindaco & Jeremenko 2008; Corti et al. 2011; Di Cerbo & Di Tizio 2011), and the species was usually listed as present (at least in the past) in Sicily (Bruno & Maugeri 1976; Gasc et al. 1997; Arnold & Ovenden 2002), although its acclimatisation was never confirmed (Razzetti & Sindaco 2006; Sindaco & Jeremenko 2008). More recently, apparently acclimatised populations were reported in two other regions, Apulia and Calabria (Basso & Calasso 1991; Fattizzo 1996; Fattizzo & Marzano 2002; Sperone et al. 2010). In this contribution we aim to (1) provide an overview of findings in Italian territory, (2) confirm the specific attribution of Apulian and Calabrian populations, and (3) test their origin using molecular methods.

Material and methods

Literature and museological research

We searched for information about chameleons in Italy by looking for published papers, within natural history museum collections, and on the Internet. We also consulted observation data available in the archives of Museo di Storia Naturale del Salento. These data were also supported by presence of preserved individuals (currently housed within the herpetological collection of Museo di Storia Naturale, Venice; see below).

Morphological comparison

Morphological comparisons were carried out with some selected specimens from the collection of Zoological Museum of Turin University, now managed by Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali, Turin (Andreone & Gavetti 2010), such as: MZUT R1348.1–27, Libya, Tarabulus, Tripoli; MZUT R1344.1–4, Tunisia; MZUT R1333.1–6, Libya, Tarabulus, Gharyan.

Molecular analysis

We analysed tissue samples (tail tips) from eight individuals found in 2015: three from Nardò (Lecce Province, Apulia, Italy), and five from Palmi (Reggio Calabria Province, Calabria, Italy). We also performed DNA extractions from the preserved specimens housed in the Museo di Storia Naturale (Venice), and from a specimen held in the collection of Dipartimento di Biologia, Ecologia e Scienze della Terra (Calabria University). Two samples of *C. chamaeleon* from Cadiz (Spain) were used for comparison.

Total genomic DNA was extracted using proteinase K digestion (10 mg/mL concentration) followed by a standard salt extraction protocol (Bruford et al. 1992). We sequenced a fragment of ca. 550 bp of the 3' terminus of the mitochondrial rrnL (large ribosomal RNA, or 16S rRNA gene). For primers and cycling protocols, see Crottini et al. (2009). Standard polymerase chain reactions (PCR) were performed in a final volume of 11 µL and using 0.3 µL each of 10 pmol primer, 0.25 µL of total dNTP 10 mM (Promega), 0.08 µL of 5 U/mL GoTag, and 2.5 µL 5X Green GoTag Reaction Buffer (Promega). Successfully amplified PCR products were treated to inactivate remaining primers and dNTPs. Purified PCR templates were sequenced using dvelabelled dideoxy terminator cycle sequencing on an ABI 3130 automated DNA sequencer or on an ABI 3730xl at Macrogen Inc.

Our sequences were compared with GenBank sequences, and chromatographs were visually checked and edited, when necessary, using Bioedit version 7.0.9 (Hall 1999). This alignment required the inclusion of gaps to account for indels in only a few cases. For Genbank accession numbers of the sequences used, see Table I and Figure 1.

Molecular analyses included sequences of C. chamaeleon from Apulia and Calabria (Italy) and Cadiz (Spain), and all homospecific sequences with information on locality of origin available in Genbank (see Table I). Homologous 16S rRNA gene sequences of three individuals of C. africanus from Chad, Niger and Greece, and one sequence of an individual of C. calcaricarens from Somalia, were added to the rrnL gene fragment alignment for outgroup rooting in the phylogenetic analyses. The purpose of the presented phylogenetic analyses is just to show the closest relationship of the Italian populations to the populations of C. chamaeleon originating from Tunisia and Israel, rather than to provide a strong phylogenetic hypothesis of the relationships among the C. chamaeleon samples analysed in this study. Analysed samples were grouped following their geographic origin, with the exception of the populations from Spain, Portugal and Malta, whose introduction has already been proven in previous studies (Paulo et al. 2002; Dimaki et al. 2008). Italian populations were grouped into two distinct groups. Full details on the grouping strategy used are provided in Table I and Figure 1. Uncorrected pairwise distances (p-distance transformed into percentage using the pairwise distance option) within individuals of the same group and between individuals of different groups analysed in this

Table I. Lis	t of samples inclu	ided in the prese	nt study for molecul	ar analyses, with:	species identification	, localities,	grouping strateg	y used
for the com	putation of genet	ic distances, and	GenBank accession	numbers. Seque	nces newly determine	d for this s	tudy are in bold.	

Species	Subspecies	Country	Locality	Grouping	16S
C. chamaeleon	_	Italy	Lecce, Nardò, Pagani	Apulia	KX118428
C. chamaeleon	_	Italy	Lecce, Nardò	Apulia	KX118429
C. chamaeleon	_	Italy	Lecce, Nardò	Apulia	KX118430
C. chamaeleon	_	Italy	Calabria	Calabria	KX118431
C. chamaeleon	_	Italy	Calabria	Calabria	KX118432
C. chamaeleon	_	Italy	Reggio Calabria, Palmi	Calabria	KX118433
C. chamaeleon	_	Italy	Reggio Calabria, Palmi	Calabria	KX118434
C. chamaeleon	_	Italy	Reggio Calabria, Palmi	Calabria	KX118435
C. chamaeleon	recticrista	Israel	Magen Shaul	Israel North 1	JF317645
C. chamaeleon	recticrista	Israel	Baram	Israel North 1	JF317644
C. chamaeleon	recticrista	Israel	Shamir	Israel North 1	JF317642
C. chamaeleon	recticrista	Israel	Haon	Israel North 2	JF317643
C. chamaeleon	recticrista	Israel	Oosha	Israel South 1	JF317641
C. chamaeleon	recticrista	Israel	Habonim	Israel South 1	JF317639
C. chamaeleon	recticrista	Israel	Jerusalem	Israel South 2	JF317640
C. chamaeleon	musae	Israel	Revivim	Israel Malta musae South 3	JF317638
C. chamaeleon	musae	Israel	Kmehin	Israel Malta musae South 3	JF317637
C. chamaeleon	musae	Israel	Ramatbeka	Israel Malta musae South 3	IF317636
C. chamaeleon		Malta	Ghadira Bay	Israel Malta musae South 3	FM162017
C. chamaeleon	_ musae	Israel	Avshalom	Israel Yemen musae South 4	IF317635
C. chamaeleon		Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	Israel Yemen musae South 4	EF222199
C. chamaeleon	-	Cyprus	Alaykov	Turkey Cyprus Greece	EF222200
C. chamaeleon	-	Greece	Samos	Turkey Cyprus Greece	FM162018
C. chamaeleon	-	Turkey	Hatay Province	Turkey Cyprus Greece	EF222202
C. chamaeleon	-	Turkey	Anamur Icel Province	Turkey Cyprus Greece	EF222201
C. chamaeleon	-	Tunisia	Tunis	Tunisia	FM162019
C. chamaeleon	-	Marocco	El Iadida	Portugal Morocco Spain	AF372127
C. chamaeleon	-	Portugal	Cabanas	Portugal Morocco Spain	EF222198
C. chamaeleon	_	Spain, Portugal, Marocco	Algarve, Huelva, Essauira, El Jadida	Portugal Morocco Spain	AF372128
C. chamaeleon	_	Spain	Cadiz	Portugal Morocco Spain	KX118436
C. chamaeleon	_	Spain	Cadiz	Portugal Morocco Spain	KX118437
C. chamaeleon	_	Malta	Ghadira Bay	Malta Morocco Malaga	FM162016
C. chamaeleon	_	Morocco	Erfoud	Malta Morocco Malaga	AF372129
C. chamaeleon		Morocco	Erfoud	Malta Morocco Malaga	AF372131
C. chamaeleon		Morocco	Al Hoceima	Malta Morocco Malaga	AF372133
C. chamaeleon	_	Morocco	Erfoud	Malta Morocco Malaga	AF372130
C. chamaeleon	_	Spain, Morocco	Malaga, Al Hoceima	Malta Morocco Malaga	AF372132
C. calcaricarens	_	Somalia		calcaricarens	EF222195
C. africanus	—	Niger	_	africanus	EF222197
C. africanus	_	Greece	-	africanus	AF121960
C. africanus	_	Chad	-	africanus	AF121955

study were computed using MEGA, version 6.06 (Tamura et al. 2013).

Bayesian inference searches of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene fragment were conducted in MrBayes 3.2.1 (Ronquist et al. 2012). The simple Jukes and Cantor model of substitution was used to avoid overparameterisation in the analyses of this short gene segment. We performed two runs of 10 million generations (started on random trees) and four incrementally heated Markov chains (using default heating values), sampling the Markov chains at intervals of 1000 generations. Stabilisation and convergence of likelihood values were checked by visualising the log likelihoods associated with the posterior distribution of trees in the software Tracer (Rambaut & Drummond 2007), and occurred after about 2.5–3 million generations. The first 3 million generations were therefore discarded, and 7 million trees were retained post burn-in and summarised to generate a majority rule consensus tree (Figure 1).

Results

An overview of common chameleons in Italy

In Italy, several historical records referring to single (likely escaped or deliberately released) chameleons



Figure 1. Bayesian inference tree of *Chamaeleo chamaeleon* and the two outgroup species based on 522 bp of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene fragment. Asterisks indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities values: (*): 97–98%; *: 99%; **: 100%.

are available. These include bibliographically quoted observations for Emilia Romagna (Di Cerbo & Di Tizio 2011), Friuli – Venezia Giulia (Lazzarini 1897), and Latium (Bologna et al. 2000). Further Internet quotes (e.g. Caroppo 2014) also report unverified findings in Liguria, Sardinia and the Po Delta area.

As already stated, the common chameleon has been repeatedly reported in Sicily (e.g. Bruno & Maugeri 1976; Gasc et al. 1997; Arnold & Ovenden 2002). All of this information is mostly referable to the findings of Monte Pellegrino (Palermo) which is reported back to the 19th century by Grohmann (1832). Other scattered data for Sicily included Catania (Biondi Giunta 1863; Doderlein 1871, 1881; De Betta 1874), Siracusa province and some further imprecise localities (Bruno & Maugeri 1976). However, neither the Italian herpetological atlas (Sindaco et al. 2006) nor the monographic reptile contribution within the "Fauna d'Italia" (Corti et al. 2011) confirmed the species exists in Sicily. Moreover, our search in major natural history museums did not provide any record of specimens collected in Sicily, and there is no

evidence of recent observations either (Razzetti & Sindaco 2006; Sindaco & Jeremenko 2008).

According to Di Cerbo and Di Tizio (2011), preserved specimens of *C. chamaeleon* with verified Italian provenance are currently known from Emilia Romagna, Latium, Apulia and Calabria.

The quote for Emilia Romagna refers to a single individual found in Carpi (Modena), likely escaped from captivity or accidentally imported. This specimen is currently housed in the Museo Civico di Ecologia e Storia Naturale di Marano sul Panaro under the collection number 470/SL/31-9-2000.

The finding from Latium is relative to a female collected in November 1990 in Maccarese (Rome) and currently housed in the collection of Museo Civico di Zoologia di Roma (MCZRV R/ 01501). This finding is likely coincident with the one reported by Bologna et al. (2000) for Fiumicino (Rome), since the two localities are quite close. In the same contribution, another observation refers to Latium, notably to Tor San Lorenzo (Rome), but is not supported by any preserved specimen.

Repeated chameleon observations come in the last few decades from Apulia and Calabria, as reported by Basso and Calasso (1991), Fattizzo (1996), Fattizzo and Marzano (2002), Marzano and Scarafino (2010) and Sperone et al. (2010).

Findings of adult individuals have been reported in Apulia since the 1980s, mostly for Nardò (Lecce Province) surroundings (Figures 2–3). These were also confirmed by museum specimens, photographs/ videos reported in books, local newspapers, Web news and direct observations. Older museum specimens also refer to Gallipoli (Lecce Province), but were not supported by recent findings. Preserved specimens are now housed in Museo di Storia Naturale, Venice, as follows: MSNVE-24030, one specimen, June 1951, Gallipoli (Lecce), found in a warehouse of a former pharmacy on 20 October 1988; MSNVE-24031, two specimens (likely male and female), Nardò (Lecce), July 1988, found dead in an olive grove, likely due to pesticide poisoning; MSNVE-24032, one specimen (pregnant female), Gallipoli (Lecce), 12 August 1989; MSNVE-24033, eggs laid in the Museum of Natural History, Calimera (Lecce); 13 August 1989; MSNVE-24034, one specimen without precise locality (likely from Salento area). Collectors and donors for these specimens were not available.

Observations in Calabria were first documented by Sperone et al. (2010) for Palmi (Reggio Calabria) surroundings and were also subsequently reported in the "Fauna d'Italia" by Di Cerbo and Di Tizio (2011). Acclimatisation was supported by regular observation of adults and juveniles in the subsequent years by one of us (ST) and by local naturalists. One individual is currently housed in the herpetological collection of Dipartimento di Biologia, Ecologia e Scienze della Terra, Arcavacata di Rende, as follows: CHCH001, one specimen from Palmi (Reggio Calabria), leg. P. Paolillo, 1994.



Figure 2. Indicative localisations of sampling sites of *Chamaeleo chamaeleon* in Apulia and Calabria (Italy), where the acclimatised populations were reported.



Figure 3. Live individuals of *Chamaleo chamaeleon* from acclimatised Italian populations. **a**, One of the first findings of common chameleons in Italy: A female from Nardò surroundings (Lecce Province, Apulia; photographed in Turin on 21 December 1987); **b**, a female from Nardò; **c**, a male from Palmi (Reggio Calabria Province, Calabria) surroundings (photographed on 29 April 2014); **d**, a female from Palmi surroundings. Photos by F. Andreone (A), P. Carlino (B), B. Bok (C, D).

Taxonomic attribution and haplotype sharing

The DNA extraction from specimens housed in collections and museums did not yield any positive result, likely due to bad sample preservation and use of inappropriate fixative liquids. On the other hand, all recent samples collected from live individuals were successfully amplified and sequenced, confirming the attribution of the chameleon populations from Apulia and Calabria to C. chamaeleon. Samples from Calabria share the same 16S rRNA sequence among them and also with an individual originating from Tunisia (Tunis, Genbank accession number FM162019). Similarly, the three analysed samples from Nardò (Apulia) are genetically uniform and share the same 16S rRNA haplotype with three samples of C. chamaeleon from northern Israel: populations of Shamir, Baram and Magen Shaul (Genbank accession numbers JF317642, JF317644, JF317645, respectively) (Figure 1; Table II). Interestingly, samples of Apulia and Calabria are different from each other, showing an also

uncorrected p-distance of 0.8%, and, due to the low genetic variability observed for this gene fragment in this species (the maximum genetic distance observed between the analysed samples of *C. chamaeleon* is 1.5%), we deduce that these two populations have an independent origin. More details on genetic distances within the analysed populations of *C. chamaeleon*, and between them and the two other species used for outgroup rooting (*C. africanus* and *C. calcaricares*), are provided in Table II.

Discussion

Our study demonstrated that among the chameleon observations in Italy, only those from Apulia and Calabria refer to reproductively active *C. chamaeleon* populations. To our knowledge, all the other findings are referable to released/escaped individuals. We also excluded from contemporary observations the data for Sicily, although we cannot exclude that the species was present in the past, as reported by a wellTable II. Within- (bold) and among-group genetic divergence values of the analysed 16S rRNA mitochondrial gene fragment, based on estimated uncorrected pairwise distance. The genetic distances of the Apulia and Calabria populations against all other defined groups are highlighted in black and light grey, respectively. The identity of the Apulia and Calabria populations with the Israel North 1 and the Tunisia groups is highlighted in dark grey.

						Chai	maeleo chamaeleon							
			Israel	Israel	Israel	Israel	Israel	Israel	Turkey		Portugal	Malta		
	Italy Apulia	Italy Calabria	North 1	North 2	South 1	South 2	Malta musae South 3	Yemen musae South 4	Cyprus Greece	Tunisia	Morocco Spain	Morocco Malaga	Chamaeleo calcaricarens	Chamaeleo africanus
Italy Apulia	%0													
Italy Calabria	0.80%	0%0												
Israel North 1	0%0	0.80%	%0											
Israel North 2	0.20%	0.60%	0.20%	I										
Israel South 1	0.80%	0.40%	0.80%	0.60%	%0									
Israel South 2	1.20%	0.80%	1.20%	1.00%	0.40%	I								
Israel Malta musae	1.50%	1.10%	1.50%	1.30%	0.70%	0.90%	0.60%							
South 3														
Israel Yemen musae	0.90%	0.90%	0.90%	0.90%	0.50%	0.70%	0.90%	0.60%						
South 4														
Turkey Cyprus Greece	0.40%	0.80%	0.40%	0.60%	0.80%	1.20%	1.50%	0.90%	0%0					
Tunisia	1.00%	0%0	1.00%	0.70%	0.50%	1.00%	1.20%	1.10%	1.00%	I				
Portugal Morocco Spain	1.40%	0.60%	1.40%	1.20%	0.50%	1.00%	1.20%	1.10%	1.40%	0.60%	0.10%			
Malta Morocco Malaga	1.30%	0.80%	1.30%	1.00%	0.80%	1.30%	1.50%	1.10%	0.90%	0.90%	0.80%	0.30%		
C. calcaricarens	2.90%	2.50%	2.80%	2.60%	2.00%	2.20%	2.40%	2.10%	2.80%	2.70%	2.30%	2.80%	I	
C. africanus	3.90%	3.90%	3.80%	3.60%	3.40%	3.80%	4.00%	3.60%	3.70%	4.50%	3.80%	3.70%	3.00%	1.30%

documented reference (Grohmann 1832), but we do not have any evidence whether the species was acclimatised.

Our analysis of the 16S rRNA mitochondrial gene fragment revealed some patterns which help in unveiling the origins of the Apulia and Calabria populations. In fact, the individuals from Apulia shared the haplotype with populations from Levant, and particularly with those from N. Israel. Individuals from this area were originally described as C. c. recticrista Boettger, 1880, based upon the holotype coming from Jerusalem (Yaacov et al. 2012; Glaw 2015). According to Tilbury and Tolley (2009) this subspecies is present in northern Israel and the northern part of the Levant (e.g. Turkey). Although C. c. recticrista is apparently distinguishable from C. c. chameleon for some morphological characters (e.g. straighter and less convex parietal crest, more prominent occipital lobes, larger body size and tarsal spurs present in some males), in the absence of a thorough comparative analysis it is difficult to ascertain whether C. c. recticrista is still a valid taxon, and for this reason we refrain from attributing the Apulian chameleons to this taxon.

Taken into account that the historical trade with southern Italy mostly involved North Africa, it was also difficult to believe that the Apulian population is the result of a palaeointroduction (sensu Amori & Lapini 1997) from Levant. We therefore searched for alternative evidence for the sources of provenance of these animals. Interviewing local people, we got confirmation that an Italian soldier was in service in Lebanon with the Italian Army in 1982 and kept in captivity a small group of chameleons in his barracks. When he went back to Italy (Nardò) he took with him some of these animals, which were kept as pets. Following this, the chameleons (which included some pregnant females) escaped and/or were released. According to original declarations, after some months he found chameleon newborns in the surroundings of his house, where presumably the adults settled. The first documented observations of a wild C. chamaeleon in Apulia date back to 1987. At that time an adult female was imported from Nardò to Turin (Piedmont, NW Italy) in November 1987 and subsequently photographed (but not conserved) by the first author (Figure 3A). This most likely refers to one of the first chameleons found in nature in this locality. Two adults from Nardò collected in July 1988 are currently housed in Venice and confirm that their acclimatatisation likely occurred in the late 1980s. Taking into account the genetic analysis and the historical reconstruction, we conclude that the population of C. chamaeleon in Apulia originated from at least one introduction event with individuals

from Lebanon. The acclimatisation is also proved by the long extension of observations (Figure 4), which surpass the mean life span of the species (Marzano & Scarafino 2010).

The haplotype of the Calabrian individuals is coincident with the one of a chameleon from Tunisia, thus suggesting that the species' presence in Calabria is due to importation from N. Africa. Obviously, more analyses are needed to understand whether this population is the result of a recent introduction or if it is ancient and can be considered a palaeointroduction. This hypothesis finds further support in the fact that several elements of the Italian herpetofauna are likely the result of ancient introductions. This is the case, for example, for many tortoises (Testudo spp.) in Sardinia and several other sites on the Italian mainland (Fritz et al. 2009), for the gecko Cyrtopodion kotschi in Apulia (Picariello & Scillitani 1988) and for the treefrog Hyla meridionalis in NW Italy (Stöck et al. 2008). The recently found sand boa Ervx jaculus is also considered a possible palaeointroduced element for Sicily (Insacco et al.



Figure 4. Number of findings of individuals of *Chamaeleo chamaeleon* for Nardò surroundings (Apulia), as obtained after consultation of data available in Museo di Storia Naturale del Salento and published information in Marzano and Scarafino (2010). Data are reported by year (histograms) and cumulative numbers (line).

2015). The evidence that chameleons in Italy derive from recent introductions is supported by the absence of fossil records from Italian sites despite a former broad distribution that included even Germany (Georgalis et al. 2016). Remarkably, all the acrodont lizards found so far in Italian sites are clearly referable to agamids and not to chamaeleonids (Delfino et al. 2008). This supports the allochthony of Italian populations, similar to what is observed for Portugal, Spain and Malta (Sindaco & Jeremenko 2008; Glaw 2015).

Finally, special consideration must be given for the management of Calabrian and Apulian populations of chameleons, similar to what was expressed for the African chameleon C. africanus in Greece (Böhme et al. 1998). Repeated observations of chameleons in Apulia indicate that the animals are currently rather common and abundant. Data obtained from published information (Marzano & Scarafino 2010) and from the database of Museo di Storia Naturale del Salento also show a good number of collected individuals from the 1980s (40 documented observations). The same is also true for Calabria where the individuals are easily found and the observation record is conspicuous. For this reason, it is now necessary to investigate more accurately the current species boundaries and distribution, as unpublished observations suggest that the areas could be wider than currently known. Finally, the impact on local fauna must be carefully ascertained, now taking into account the species' allochthony and its potential invasivity (Sciberras 2007).

Acknowledgements

Our thanks go to M. Capula, L. Lapini, S. Mazzotti, M. Bon and N. Novarini for information on specimens housed in collections in their care and data about unpublished quotes, and to F. Glaw and E. Razzetti for their help in bibliography research. F. Tassi kindly facilitated the loan of preserved specimens now housed in the herpetological collection of Museo di Storia Naturale, Venice. A. Durante and M. Bollino provided useful support to P. Carlino and valuable historical news on the chameleons in Apulia. M. Delfino contributed with information on the palaeontology of chameleons in Europe. Thanks to G. Paolillo, who first reported the presence of C. chamaeleon in Calabria. B. Bok provided original photographs for the chameleon in Calabria. G. Prono assisted in the graphic aspects. The work of A. Crottini was supported by an Investigador FCT grant from the Portuguese "Fundaçao para a Ciencia e a Tecnologia" [IF/00209/2014], funded by Programa Operacional Potencial Humano (POPH) – Quadro de Referencia Estrategico Nacional (QREN) from the European Social Fund.

ORCID

- F. Andreone D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9809-5818
- F. M. Angelici D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4275-0371
- P. Carlino () http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0380-981X
- S. Tripepi D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4158-911X
- A. Crottini D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8505-3050

References

- Amori G, Lapini L. 1997. Le specie di mammiferi introdotte in Italia: Il quadro della situazione attuale. In: Spagnesi M, Toso S, Genovesi P, editors. Atti III Convegno Nazionale dei Biologi della Selvaggina. Bologna: Supplemento alle Ricerche di Biologia della Selvaggina. Vol. 27. pp. 249–267.
- Andreone F, Gavetti E. 2010. I musei naturalistici metropolitani nello studio e nella conservazione della biodiversità erpetologica: Il caso del Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali di Torino. Museologia Scientifica Memorie 5:49–61.
- Arnold EN, Ovenden DW. 2002. Collins field guide, reptiles and amphibians of Britain and Europe. London: Harper Collins Publishers.
- Basso R, Calasso C. 1991. I rettili della penisola salentina. Lecce: Edizioni del Grifo.
- Biondi Giunta S. 1863. Sul camaleonte trovato in Catania. Il Plebiscito 37:1–10.
- Boettger O. 1880. Die Reptilien und Amphibien von Syrien, Palaestina und Cypern. Bericht über die Senckenbergische Naturforschende Gesellschaft in Frankfurt am Main 1879/1880:132–219.
- Böhme W. 1989. Neuer Nachweis von Chamaeleo chamaeleon (Linnaeus, 1758) vom Peloponnes, Griechenland. Herpetofauna 11:32–34.
- Böhme W, Bonetti A, Chiras G. 1998. The chameleons of the Greekmainland: Taxonomic allocation and conservation needs of a second European species (Squamata: Sauria: Chamaeleonidae). Herpetozoa 11:87–91.
- Bologna MA, Capula M, Carpaneto GM. 2000. Anfibi e rettili del Lazio. Roma: Fratelli Palombi Editori.
- Bruford MW, Hanotte O, Brookefield JFY, Burke T. 1992. Single-locus and multilocus DNA fingerprint. In: Hoelzel AR, editor. Molecular genetic analysis of populations: a practical approach. Oxford: IRL Press. pp. 225–270.
- Bruno S, Maugeri S. 1976. Tartarughe Sauri. Firenze: Edizioni Martello Giunti.
- Caroppo O. 2014. Il camaleonte mediterraneo. Fondazione Terra d'Otranto. Available: http://www.fondazioneterradotranto.it/ 2014/03/24/il-camaleonte-mediterraneo/. Accessed Feb 2016 8.
- Corti C, Capula M, Luiselli L, Razzetti E, Sindaco R. 2011. Fauna d'Italia, Vol. XLV, Reptilia. Bologna: Calderini.
- Crottini A, Dordel J, Köhler J, Glaw F, Schmitz A, Vences M. 2009. A multilocus phylogeny of Malagasy scincid lizards elucidates the relationships of the fossorial genera *Androngo* and *Cryptoscincus*. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 53:345– 350. DOI:10.1016/j.ympev.2009.05.024.
- De Betta E. 1874. Fauna d'Italia, Parte 4^a, rettili ed anfibi. Milano: Vallardi.
- Delfino M, Kotsakis T, Arca M, Tuveri C, Pitruzzella G, Rook L. 2008. Agamid lizards from the Plio-Pleistocene of Sardinia

(Italy) and an overview of the European fossil record of the family. Geodiversitas 30:641-656.

- Di Cerbo AR, Di Tizio L. 2011. Chamaeleo chamaeleon (Linnaeus, 1758). In: Corti C, Capula M, Luiselli L, Sindaco R, Razzetti E, editors. Fauna d'Italia, Vol. XLV, Reptilia. Bologna: Calderini. pp. 287–295.
- Dimaki M, Hundsdörfer AK, Fritz U. 2008. Eastern Mediterranean chameleons (*Chamaeleo chamaeleon, Ch. africanus*) are distinct. Amphibia-Reptilia 29:535–540. DOI:10.1163/156853808786230415.
- Doderlein P. 1871. Generalità intorno la fauna sicula dei vertebrati. Annuario della Società dei Naturalisti, Modena 6:168–201.
- Doderlein P. 1881. Rivista della fauna sicula dei vertebrati. Palermo: Tipografia P. Montana & C.
- Fattizzo T. 1996. Anfibi e rettili della Penisola salentina. Latiano: Physis Ed.
- Fattizzo T, Marzano G. 2002. Dati distributivi sull'erpetofauna del Salento. Thalassia Salentina 26:113–132.
- Fritz U, Harris DJ, Fahd S, Rouag R, Garciá Martínez E, Giménez Casalduero A, Široký P, Kalboussi M, Jdeidi TB, Hundsdörfer AK. 2009. Mitochondrial phylogeography of *Testudo graeca* in the western Mediterranean: Old complex divergence in North Africa and recent arrival in Europe. Amphibia-Reptilia 30:63–80. DOI:10.1163/ 156853809787392702.
- Gasc J-P, Cabela A, Crnobrnja-Isailovic J, Dolmen D, Grossenbacher K, Haffner P, Lescure J, Martens H, Martinéz Rica JP, Maurin H, Oliveira ME, Sofianidou TS, Veith M, Zuiderwijk A. 1997. Atlas of amphibians and reptiles in Europe. Paris: Societas Europaea Herpetologica & Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (IEGB/SPN).
- Georgalis GL, Villa A, Delfino M. 2016. First description of a fossil chamaeleonid from Greece: Presence of *Chamaeleo* (early Miocene of Aliveri, Evia) and its relevance for the European biogeographic history of the group. The Science of Nature 103:1–12. DOI:10.1007/s00114-016-1336-5.
- Glaw F. 2015. Taxonomic checklist of chameleons (Squamata: Chamaeleonidae). Vertebrate Zoology 65:167–246.
- Grohmann FS. 1832. Nuova descrizione del camaleonte siculo. Giornale Scienze Lettere e Arti di Palermo 39:145–153.
- Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41:95–98.
- Insacco G, Spadola F, Russotto S, Scaravelli D. 2015. *Eryx jaculus* (Linnaeus, 1758): A new species for the Italian herpetofauna (Squamata: Erycidae). Acta Herpetologica 10:149–153.
- Kosuch J, Vences M, Böhme W. 1999. Mitochondrial DNA sequence data support the allocation of Greek mainland chameleons to *Chamaeleo africanus*. Amphibia-Reptilia 20:440– 443. DOI:10.1163/156853899X00501.
- Lazzarini A. 1897. Anfibi e rettili del Friuli e cenni su di essi di interesse locale. Udine: Del Bianco ed.
- Marzano G, Scarafino C. 2010. Presenza del camaleonte comune nel Salento - Puglia. In: Di Tizio L, Di Cerbo AR, Di Francesco N, Cameli A, editors. Atti. VIII Congresso Nazionale Societas Herpetologica Italica. Chieti: Ianieri Edizioni. pp. 147–150.
- Mellado J, Gimenez L, Gomez JJ, Sanjuan M. 2001. El camaleon en Andalucia. Distribucion actual y amenazas para su supervivencia. Rota, Cadiz: Fundacion Alcalde Zoilo Ruiz-Mateos.
- Necas P. 1999. Chameleons Nature's hidden jewels. Frankfurt a. M: Edition Chimaira.

- Paulo OS, Pinto I, Bruford MW, Jordan WC, Nichols RA. 2002. The double origin of Iberian peninsular chameleons. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 75:1–7. DOI:10.1046/j.1095-8312.2002.00002.x.
- Picariello O, Scillitani G. 1988. Genetic distances between the populations of *Cyrtodactylus kotschyi* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from Apulia and Greece. Amphibia-Reptilia 9:245–250. DOI:10.1163/156853888X00332.
- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ. 2007. Tracer. v1.5. Available: http:// beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer. Accessed Feb 2016 8.
- Razzetti E, Sindaco R. 2006. 8. Unconfirmed taxa or in need of confirmation / Taxa non confermati o meritevoli di conferma. In: Sindaco R, Doria G, Mazzetti E, Bernini F, editors. Atlante degli anfibi e dei rettili d'Italia / Atlas of Italian amphibians and reptiles. Firenze: Edizioni Polistampa. pp. 644–653.
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP. 2012. MRBAYES 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model selection across a large model space. Systems Biology 61:539–542. DOI:10.1093/sysbio/sys029.
- Ruiz MB. 1978. Situacion actual del camaleon comun, *Chamaeleo chamaeleon* L. en la provincia de Cadiz, Espana. Boletin de la Estación Central de Ecología 7:87–90.
- Sciberras A. 2007. The presence of *Chamaeleo chamaeleon* (Reptilia) on the Maltese islands with a note on the occurrence of this species on Cominotto Island and its possible effects on the endemic local lizard. Herpetological Bulletin 102:38–40.
- Sindaco R, Jeremenko VK. 2008. The reptiles of the western Palearctic. 1. Latina: Belvedere.
- Sindaco R, Doria G, Mazzetti E, Bernini F, editors. 2006. Atlante degli anfibi e dei rettilli d'Italia / Atlas of Italian amphibians and reptiles. Firenze: Edizioni Polistampa.
- Sperone E, Crescente A, Brunelli E, Paolillo G, Tripepi S. 2010. Sightings and successful reproduction of allochthonous reptiles in Calabria. Acta Herpetology 5:265–273.
- Stöck M, Dubey S, Klütsch C, Litvinchuk SN, Scheidt U, Perrin N. 2008. Mitochondrial and nuclear phylogeny of circum-Mediterranean tree frogs from the *Hyla arborea* group. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 49:1019–1024. DOI:10.1016/j.ympev.2008.08.029.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. 2013. MEGA6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis Version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30:2725–2729. DOI:10.1093/ molbev/mst197.
- Tilbury C. 2010. Chameleons of Africa: An Atlas, including the chameleons of Europe, the Middle East and Asia. Frankfurt a. M: Edition Chimaira.
- Tilbury CR, Tolley KA. 2009. A re-appraisal of the systematics of the African genus *Chamaeleo* (Reptilia: Chamaeleonidae). Zootaxa 2079:57–68.
- Uetz P. 2016. The reptile database. Available: http://www.reptiledatabase.org. Accessed Feb 2016 8.
- Vogrin M, Corti C, Pérez Mellado V, Sá-Sousa P, Cheylan M, Pleguezuelos J, Baha El Din S, Al Johany AMH. 2012. *Chamaeleo chamaeleon*. The IUCN red list of threatened species 2012. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK. 2012.RLTS.T157246A743434.en. Accessed Feb 2016 8.
- Yaacov BD, Arbel-Thau K, Zilka Y, Ovadia O, Bouskila A, Mishmar D. 2012. Mitochondrial DNA variation, but not nuclear DNA, sharply divides morphologically identical chameleons along an ancient geographic barrier. PLoS ONE 7: e31372. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0031372.